HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.18736 OF 2006

Dated:18.09.2006

B.Pushpavati

…Petitioner

The Station House Officer,
Santamaguluru Police Station, Prakasam
District and another.

…Respondents

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.18736 OF 2006

ORDER: ***(per the Hon'ble Sri Justice P.Swaroop Reddy)***

This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a telegram, dated 05.09.2006 sent to this Court by one B.Pushpavati, R/o Chilakaluripeta, Guntur District, alleging that on 01.09.2006, the Police Personnel of Santamaguluru Police Station, Prakasam District, have illegally taken away her son by name Budati Lakshminarayana @ Tambi, at the instance of one E.Prasad Martur and he was kept in illegal custody and that one Tirumala Rao-Sub-Inspector of Police is forcing her son to give money or cheques or pronotes to the said

Prasad Martur; that the said Prasad Martur has misappropriated Rs.15,00,000/- and to overcome the fraud, her son is taken into illegal custody and is being forced to sign on some papers to use the same against him.

2. Upon notice being issued, the first respondent-Sub-Inspector of Police, Santamaguluru Police Station, Prakasam District, filed counter-affidavit stating that the alleged detenu is one of the accused in Crime No.72 of 2006 under Sections-120-B, 379 and 420 I.P.C., of Santamaguluru Police Station and the Police are making efforts to apprehend him in connection with the above crime; that to evade the arrest and also to pre-empt the Police from further proceeding with the investigation, the petitioner seems to have got issued the present telegram. It is further stated that one E.Prasad Rao filed a private complaint before the Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Addanki, against the alleged detenu and that the alleged detenu is involved in other offences and is wanted by the Police.

3. Considering all the circumstances and also having regard to the fact that the Police is all out to apprehend the alleged detenue and necessary efforts are being made in that regard, we feel that there is no need to proceed further in this writ petition.

4. The writ petition fails and is, accordingly, closed, at the stage of admission.

D.S.R.VARMA, J

P.SWAROOP REDDY, J

18.09.2006

DR